

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/588,788	HSU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) A. Dexter Tugbang, Examiner.

(3) _____

(2) Randy W. Tung, for Applicant(s).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 January 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**A. DEXTER TUGBANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner expressed to applicant(s) that Claims 17 and 24 were almost identical. Because of the this, the examiner urged the applicant(s) to remove a portion of Claim 17 that was already recited in Claim 24. The applicant(s) agreed and thus, Claim 17 has been amended (see attached Examiner's Amendment), which places the application in condition for allowance..